JCI The Journal of Clinical Investigation

Thinking laterally about neurodegenerative proteinopathies

Todd E. Golde, ..., Benoit I. Giasson, Jada Lewis

J Clin Invest. 2013;123(5):1847-1855. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI66029.

Science in Medicine

Many neurodegenerative disorders, including Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, Huntington's disease, and frontotemporal dementia, are proteinopathies that are associated with the aggregation and accumulation of misfolded proteins. While remarkable progress has been made in understanding the triggers of these conditions, several challenges have hampered the translation of preclinical therapies targeting pathways downstream of the initiating proteinopathies. Clinical trials in symptomatic patients using therapies directed toward initiating trigger events have met with little success, prompting concerns that such therapeutics may be of limited efficacy when used in advanced stages of the disease rather than as prophylactics. Herein, we discuss gaps in our understanding of the pathological processes downstream of the trigger and potential strategies to identify common features of the downstream degenerative cascade in multiple CNS proteinopathies, which could potentially lead to the development of common therapeutic targets for multiple disorders.



Find the latest version:

https://jci.me/66029/pdf



Thinking laterally about neurodegenerative proteinopathies

Todd E. Golde, David R. Borchelt, Benoit I. Giasson, and Jada Lewis

Center for Translational Research in Neurodegenerative Disease, Department of Neuroscience, McKnight Brain Institute, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA.



Many neurodegenerative disorders, including Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, Huntington's disease, and frontotemporal dementia, are proteinopathies that are associated with the aggregation and accumulation of misfolded proteins. While remarkable progress has been made in understanding the triggers of these conditions, several challenges have hampered the translation of preclinical therapies targeting pathways downstream of the initiating proteinopathies. Clinical trials in symptomatic patients using therapies directed toward initiating trigger events have met with little success, prompting concerns that such therapeutics may be of limited efficacy when used

in advanced stages of the disease rather than as prophylactics. Herein, we discuss gaps in our understanding of the pathological processes downstream of the trigger and potential strategies to identify common features of the downstream degenerative cascade in multiple CNS proteinopathies, which could potentially lead to the development of common therapeutic targets for multiple disorders.

Introduction

Multiple lines of evidence show that many degenerative CNS disorders are proteinopathies (1-3). Pivotal support for this assertion has come from two and half decades of genetic and biochemical studies, including the identification of gene mutations that cause various neurodegenerative diseases as well as pathological and experimental studies demonstrating that these mutations enhance the propensity of the encoded protein to aggregate and accumulate inside various CNS cells, outside of cells, or both (Table 1). In other instances, genetic alterations, such as duplication or triplication of a gene (e.g., the amyloid β $[A\beta]$ precursor protein [APP] gene in Alzheimer's disease [AD]and the α -synuclein [SNCA] gene in Parkinson's disease [PD]), do not produce an altered protein but simply result in increased levels that lead to abnormal protein aggregation and accumulation (4, 5). In a third scenario, as observed with progranulin (GRN) mutations that cause frontotemporal dementia, haploinsufficiency at the GRN locus results in aggregation and aberrant localization of the TAR DNA binding protein 43 (TDP-43) but not the mutant GRN-encoded protein (6, 7). Accumulated proteins are often deposited as amyloid or amyloid-like structures, but in recent years there has been an increasing focus on the smaller more soluble aggregates, generically referred to as oligomers, whose formation can also be enhanced by mutation or overexpression (8, 9). The identification of mutant genes linked to neurodegenerative diseases not only provided concrete evidence for causality, but also enabled the generation of transgenic rodent models of these diseases (Figure 1). As there are few naturally occurring animal models of human neurodegenerative diseases, the transgenic models have proven to be essential tools for understanding pathogenesis and also developing novel therapies (10). Though many neurodegenerative disorders are solely heritable disorders, several of the more common disorders, such as AD and PD, have more complex etiologies, with both familial and sporadic forms. However, the overall similarities in pathology and clinical presentations between sporadic and familial forms indicate that the pathological cascades are likely to be more conserved than disparate.

Most neurodegenerative diseases are characterized by a long prodromal phase, during which neuropathological and neurodegenerative changes precede the presentation of overt neurological symptoms. For example, before classic motor symptoms arise in PD, typically 75% or more of the dopaminergic input to the striatum has been lost (11, 12). Progressive loss of this input, reflecting dopaminergic cell death in the substantia nigra pars compacta, is thought to occur over decades. In AD, the triggering A β proteinopathy begins 15 or more years before the onset of dementia and plateaus 5–10 years before dementia is diagnosed (reviewed in ref. 13). The long delay between disease onset (defined as the time when the triggering proteinopathy is detectable) and clinical symptoms presents a dilemma in developing and testing treatments that target the proteinopathy. In the current paradigm for clinical development, novel, trigger-targeting therapeutics are typically tested in symptomatic patients. While such trigger-targeting therapies might be extremely effective if tested as prophylactics or even in the early prodromal phases, they can be predicted to have little or no efficacy in symptomatic patients (13). There is a growing recognition that this therapeutic development paradigm may limit our ability to demonstrate efficacy of novel disease-modifying therapies, which has resulted in some shift toward trials in prodromal disease states in AD (14). However, the current road map for developing disease-modifying therapies for most neurodegenerative disease would still require an initial therapeutic trial in symptomatic individuals and then a series of trials progressively targeting earlier stages of disease. In this scenario, the time lines from initial human trials to definitive testing of a therapy in an optimal early intervention or primary prevention trial could span multiple decades.

Though we all hope that the barriers to conducting true primary prevention studies with trigger-targeting therapies will, over time, fall and that such therapies will be efficacious, the time lines, along

Conflict of interest: The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists. Citation for this article: *J Clin Invest*. 2013;123(5):1847–1855. doi:10.1172/JCI66029.

CNS proteinopathies: proteins,	genes, and pathologies				
Diseases Familital AD Sporadic AD Down's syndrome HCHWA-D	Triggering protein (ref.) Aβ (99, 100)	Lesions with triggering protein? Amyloid in senile plaques, CAA, diffuse Aβ deposits, intraneuronal Aβ	Linked genes APP ^A PS1 PS2	Genetic risk factors APOE ⁸	Associated proteinopathies Tau, α-synuclein, TDP-43 Unidentified ubiquinated protein(s) in dystrophic neurites
Familial Danish/British dementia	ABri, ADan (101)	Amyloid plaques, CAA	ITMB2 (BRI2)	\$	Tauopathy, TDP-43
PD DLB LB variant of AD MSA	α-Synuclein (102–104)	LBs and neurites (PD, DLB), GCI (MSA)	SNCAAC (105) LRRK2 ^{C,D} (106) PINK7 ^{C,D} (107) PLA2G6 ^{C,D} (108) ELF4G 7 ^{C,D} (110)	SNCA MAPT LRRK2 GBA (109)	Aβ, Tau (DLB, LB variant of AD) ^D
FENIB	Neuroserpin (111)	Collins bodies	SERPIN1		
ALS (FTD)	FUS (112, 113)	FUS-positive basophilic inclusion bodies	FUS		
FTD	Progranulin haploinsufficiency (6, 7)	Not detected	GRN	TMEM106B	TDP-43
HD Kennedy disease/SBMA DRPLA SCA type I SCA2 SCA3 (Machado-Joseph disease) SCA6 SCA7 SCA7 SCA17	Poly Q repeat expansion in multiple proteins (114)	Primarily neuronal inclusions both nuclear and cytoplasmic	HD AR DRPLA ATXN1 ATXN2 ATXN2 CACNA1A TBP		
CJD Kuru GSS Familial CJD	Prpsc (17)	Accumulation of Prp ^{sc} and extracellular PrP amyloid	PRNP		Tau NFT
ALS	SOD1 (72)	SOD1 inclusions	SOD1		Neurofilament, TDP-43 (rare)
FTD AD CBD PSP and others ^F	Tau (115–117)	Neurofibrillary tangles, tau neurites, neuronal and glial inclusions	MAPT⊧	MAPT STX6, EIF2AK3, and MOBP (CBD) (118)	
FTD/ALS	TDP-43 (119–121)	TDP-43 inclusions	TARDP43	ATXN2 and TMEM106B	
ALS/FTD	Ubiquilin 2 (122)	Ubiquilin 2 inclusions	UBQN2		TDP-43, S0D1
ALS	Optineurin (123)	Optineurin inclusions	OPTN		TDP-43, SOD1, FUS
^A Both point mutations and multiplic updates). ^C These genes are linked tions are not associated with AD. ^{F1} lysosomal storage diseases. CAA, to glial cytoplasmic inclusion; FENIB, torubropallidoluysian atrophy; SCA,	ation of the gene. ^B At least 10 other genes to classic <i>c</i> -synuclein pathology in PD. ^D Th au pathology (NFTs) can be seen in many cerebrovascular amyloid; HCHWA-D, here familial encephalopathy with neuroserpin i spinocerebellar ataxia; CJD, Creutzfeldt-J	s have been implicated by genome-wide assoc hese findings or associations are variable. For y neurodegenerative conditions that are not th ditary cerebral hemorrhage with amyloidosis-I inclusion bodies; FTD, frontotemporal dementi Jakob disease; GSS, Gerstmann-Straussler-S.	siation studies, with re example, not all LRF ought to be primary p Dutch type; DLB, den ia; FUS, fused in sarc cheinker syndrome; F	latively small risks (see ht tK2 mutations show Lewy roteinopathies, including h tentia with Lewy bodies; M oma; SBMA, spinobulbar i FI, fatal familial insomnia;	tp://www.alzgene.org/ for current body (LB) pathology. <i>EMAPT</i> muta- Veimann Pick disease and various ISA, multiple system atrophy; GCI, muscular atrophy; DRPLA, denta- NFT, neurofibrillary tangle; CBD,

corticobasal degeneration; PSP, progressive supranuclear palsy.

Table 1



Neurodegeneration and a neurological phenotype in many, but not all, models

with the general challenge of developing and testing these therapies, raise serious questions about whether we can wage a successful campaign to treat or prevent these devastating CNS disorders. This is especially true if we only focus on trigger-targeting therapies. Because of the extended time lines of current therapeutic development paradigms, a generation or more will develop these devastating disorders. We believe that it is imperative for the field to bolster efforts to identify therapies that might work at later disease stages. Although the clinical and pathological phenotypes and the initiating proteinopathies that trigger various neurodegenerative diseases are distinct, it is our premise that (a) there are more similarities than differences in the mechanisms downstream of various triggering proteinopathies that drive neurodegeneration and (b) an understanding of these similarities and key differences might lead to novel therapeutic strategies that could lead to more effective symptomatic therapies. Herein, we discuss how an integrated approach to understanding CNS proteinopathies and therapeutic target identification, with a focus on mechanisms of disease spread within the brain, can be achieved by looking laterally across a spectrum of diseases to understand common pathological mechanisms downstream of the triggering proteinopathy.

Figure 1

Modeling neurodegenerative proteinopathies in transgenic rodents has provided preclinical disease models that support therapeutic discovery. For most human neurodegenerative diseases there are not naturally occurring animal models. By expressing mutant genes associated with various human neurodegenerative diseases, it has been possible to develop models that often are excellent phenocopies of the CNS proteinopathy associated with the human disease. In many cases, but not all, these models also show neurodegenerative phenotypes. The development of transgenic rodent models has been critical for both mechanistic understanding of neurodegenerative diseases and also for the preclinical testing of novel therapeutics. PHF, paired helical filaments.

How do proteinopathies spread?

Prionoid mechanisms? Amyloid formation is a concentration-dependent, seeded, polymerization reaction (15, 16). In this reaction, formation of an initial assembly, referred to as a nucleation event or seed, catalyzes the conversion of the normal protein into the pathological amyloid state. Once seeded, growth of amyloid and amyloid-like structures is typically exponential, resulting in rapid formation of macromolecular structures that appear as intracellular inclusions or extracellular deposits used to pathologically define the disease. Building on seminal studies in prion diseases, in which the data unequivocally demonstrate that conversion of the normal cellular prion protein (PrPc) into the abnormal disease-causing prion (PrPsc) results in pathology spread within the infected CNS and enables disease transmissions (17, 18), there is now abundant evidence that many CNS proteinopathies can spread via a prion-like mechanism (reviewed in refs. 19-21). Indeed, this evidence has been used to support human pathological studies that suggest that neurofibrillary tau pathology spreads into the neocortex in AD (22) and α -synuclein pathology spreads into the brain from enteric nerves in PD (23, 24). However, unlike prion disease, there is no evidence that these and other human CNS proteinopathies are transmissible, and, thus, they have been termed by some as prionoids (19).

Despite the emergence of the prionoid hypothesis to explain proteinopathy spread within the brain, at present, there are many unanswered questions regarding the importance and relevance of prionoid mechanism in most human CNS proteinopathies. Answering these questions will enhance our understanding of disease progression and provide insight into the therapeutic tractability of targeting this pathway. One of the more elusive challenges for the field has been to define a seed. Though it is hypothesized that seeds contain structurally altered forms of the normal proteins, a precise structural understanding is lacking (25). Even for prions and A β , the presence of seeds is empirically defined by showing that some extract or preaggregated solution of the purified protein can catalyze aggregate formation, without a nucleation or lag phase. Given the likelihood that seeds are present in trace quantities in initial disease states, the seeds theoretically represent attractive, albeit elusive, targets.

A second perplexing question for intracellular proteinopathies relates to how cell-to-cell transmission occurs. Proteins implicated in intracellular CNS proteinopathies are largely localized to the cytoplasm, nucleus, or part of the scaffolding network of the cell, but there is growing evidence that these proteins can be secreted and are also present at low levels in interstitial and cerebrospinal fluid (19, 20, 26, 27). Proteins such as tau and α -synuclein may be secreted in exosomes and containment within exosomes might



Figure 2

Schematic of mechanism of possible spread of neurodegenerative proteinopathies and contribution to cellular demise. In this scheme, initiation of a proteinopathy can trigger a series of events that illicit feedback that contributes to spread of pathology and cellular demise. Danger signals might include DAMPs but also other signals indicative of cellular stress (ATP release, expression of MHC, etc.). Although there is strong evidence that microglia (and possibly astrocytes) may secrete neurotoxic factors, these factors have not been definitively identified in human neurodegenerative proteinopathies. Although most in the field have focused on mechanisms of neuronal decline, it is also important to consider the possibility that proteinopathies might result in functional decline and death of other CNS cells, including microglia, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes (not shown). Indeed, in multiple system atrophy, oligodendrocytes are the primary cell affected by α -synuclein inclusions (97), and there is evidence for microglial dystrophy in AD (98).

enhance cellular uptake, but the contribution of this pathway in vivo remains unclear (28–30). Furthermore, there are little data addressing (a) whether aggregated proteins are preferentially released, (b) whether secretion of these proteins has a normal physiologic role or is a disposal mechanism, or (c) whether the secreted proteins present in interstitial fluid or cerebrospinal fluid contain seeds capable of inducing pathology. Notably, the recognition that tau and α -synuclein aggregates may be secreted already provides one explanation for the apparent preclinical efficacy of immunotherapy targeting these proteins, and further elucidation of the mechanism of cell-to-cell transmission may provide a basis for novel therapies (31, 32).

Another unknown relates to the difference between prionoid and prion mechanisms. By definition, prions are transmissible; prionoids are not (33). Though some experiments raise the specter that certain proteinopathies could be transmitted from one genetically manipulated mouse to another, there is no human data to support human-to-human transmission of any CNS proteinopathy other than prions. So why are PrPsc prions uniquely transmissible? Indeed, many of the normal proteins involved in CNS proteinopathies are quite easily converted into aggregates that can behave as seeds, in contrast to PrP^c (17). Speculatively, this difference could be explained by the kinetics of the templating reaction that follows seeding rather than the initial kinetic barriers to conversion. De novo conversion of PrP^c into PrP^{sc} is extremely challenging, but there is evidence that PrPsc may rapidly convert PrP^{c} – in the order of minutes or seconds (34). In contrast, it is quite easy to nucleate $A\beta$, but the subsequent templating still takes hours to days to reach completion (15). Differences in peripheral expression levels might also play a role; if the normal protein is not present at sufficient levels to support templating, then the disease will not be transmitted peripherally. A final issue may relate to immunogenicity. Perhaps, in the periphery, PrPsc evades the immune system, whereas prionoids do not. If a seed or aggregate is easily recognized by the immune systems, a formidable barrier to transmission would exist.

A final question that serves as an excellent reminder that other mechanisms may account for the apparent spreading of pathology is whether spreading and cell-to-cell transmission of pathology can occur under physiologic levels of protein expression. The vast



Figure 3

Schematic of the interrelated neurodegenerative proteinopathies. Diseases are organized in color blocks that indicate their primary proteinaceous aggregate. AD has primary proteinaceous aggregates of both A β (yellow) and tau (red) and is therefore designated orange. Diseases are connected to proteinaceous aggregates that can be observed in at least some cases of the disease with lines. AGD, argyrophilic grain disease; CBD, corticobasal degeneration; DLB, dementia with Lewy bodies; FTD, frontotemporal dementia; HD, Huntington's disease; MSA, multiple system atrophy; Perry synd., Perry syndrome; PDC, parkinsonism-dementia complex; PiD, Pick's disease; PSP, progressive supranuclear palsy; α Syn, α -synuclein.

majority of data generated to date supporting prionoid mechanisms for tau, Aβ, superoxide dismutase-1 (SOD1), TDP-43, and α-synuclein use cell or animal models that have substantial overexpression of the protein and exogenous administration of the seed (21, 35-43). Whether pathology spread can occur under physiological expression levels is not as clear. Except for a recent study of α -synuclein seeding in nontransgenic mice (44), in most other instances induction of pathology is quite limited in the absence of overexpression (45-47). Furthermore, human studies, such as those regarding pathology in transplanted neurons in human patients with PD, cannot exclude other mechanisms such as those discussed below (48, 49). Though the physiologic relevance remains to be definitively established, the ability to rapidly induce seeding of pathological inclusions that phenocopy human proteinopathies is a major advance for the field. Indeed, armed with such models, it should be feasible to not only dissect out the consequences of inclusion formation in various cell types, but also to screen for both genetic and pharmacologic modifiers of pathology. Collectively, such studies may reveal novel strategies for therapy.

Prionoids as danger-associated molecular patterns that propagate via a toxic environment. One of the hallmarks of a proteinopathy is that the proteins that accumulate are either alternatively folded or misfolded and are found in a substantial, ordered assembly. Thus, there is significant potential for recognition of repetitive, pathological, conformational epitopes in the aggregate as non-self antigens (50, 51). Prionoid self-protein aggregates represent what are referred to immunologically as danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) that are capable of inducing a robust immune response (52). Notably, a large number of studies show that prionoids associated with CNS proteinopathies, when applied exogenously to glial cells, activate innate immunity through pattern recognition receptors and induce a proinflammatory response (50, 53). Furthermore, there is some evidence that the resulting response to the DAMPs could modify the protein aggregate via various posttranslational modifications, such nitration, oxidation, or proteolysis, enhancing toxicity or promoting additional aggregation (54–56). Though studies of A β aggregates acting as DAMPs have until recently dominated this area of investigation, the finding that intracellular protein aggregates can be secreted provides a mechanism whereby even tau, α -synuclein, and other intracellular aggregates could activate the innate immune system upon secretion (57–59). Indeed, recent identification of AD risk alleles within the triggering receptor expressed on monocytes 2 (*TREM2*) gene highlight the potential relevance of this pathway to neurodegenerative disease (60, 61).

It is likely that innate immune activation can have positive or negative effects on proteostasis, behavior, and neurodegeneration, with the balance between positive and negative effects dependent on the nature, timing, duration, and strength of the specific signals. For example, there are conflicting data regarding the relationship between alterations in innate immune activation states and effects on extracellular A β accumulation and behavior (53, 62-64). There are more consistent data that indicate that proinflammatory stimuli may promote tau and α -synuclein pathology but also many fewer studies in this area (53). For example, LPS and various other proinflammatory stimuli have been shown to induce tau and α -synuclein pathology (65–67). Thus, at least in tau- and α -synuclein-opathies, there is evidence that a proinflammatory neurotoxic environment could induce or promote spread of pathology. Extracellular aggregates acting as both DAMPs and "seeds" may set off a vicious cycle of aggregate secretion, inflammation, and reseeding that propagates pathology. Inflammatory factors may enhance cell permeability, resulting in enhanced remodeling or destruction of synapses and other cellular processes. Further, such factors may lead to increased levels of extracellular aggregates, seeds, and other types of DAMPs (cell debris, nucleic acids, etc.) that in turn trigger more inflammation and reinforce this positive feedback loop (Figure 2).

An area that has received much less attention is whether cytoplasmic or organelle-bound intracellular aggregates can also act as DAMPs and activate intracellular immune pathways. Both fibrillar and oligomeric assemblies of proteins resemble intracellular pathogens. As there are established links among intracellular innate immunity, autophagic pathways, and the induction of other stress responses, further investigation of the action of intracellular protein aggregates as DAMPs might provide novel insights into how these protein aggregates alter cellular function (68–70). Extending this concept further, many of the responses of neurons to intracellular proteinopathies are highly similar to responses observed following sublytic viral infection of a neuron – including both induction of various elimination signals and general suppression of protein synthesis pathways (71). Furthermore, evidence from ALS models suggests that expression of mutant SOD1 in astrocytes and microglia plays a key role in mediating disease progression, implicating some role for these aggregates in activating intrinsic pathways that may contribute to neurotoxicity (72).

Gliosis and altered CNS immune activation states have long been recognized as an invariant accompanying feature of CNS proteinopathies, but the role of altered innate immune activation remains poorly understood (53). Though epidemiological studies have suggested that antiinflammatory strategies might be useful in AD, PD, and ALS, testing of antiinflammatory therapies in various disease states has not shown much promise to date (73, 74). However, as with therapies targeting the triggering proteinopathy, there is some concern that these therapies may have been tested in disease states that are too far advanced (13). Despite this lack of success to date, the notion that the immune system could be therapeutically harnessed to clear the underlying proteinopathy is attractive. In this light, we would simply point out that coordinated innate immune activation can clear a virus from the brain (71, 75). Given the evidence for prionoid- and DAMP-like properties of these protein aggregates, one might hypothesize that appropriate activation of the innate immune system could be used to restore normal proteostasis.

As with prionoid-like mechanisms, more insight is needed in a number of areas to fully comprehend the role of innate immunity in CNS proteinopathies. First, we need a better understanding of the temporal sequence of innate immune activation in the brain, and even the periphery, during disease progression. Such immunophenotyping might not only identify novel therapeutic targets but also novel biomarkers for disease. Indeed, a recent study in SOD1 mutant mice and human patients with ALS illustrates how detailed temporal immunophenotyping can inform both biomarker and therapeutic development (76). Second, there needs to be a more thorough understanding of the pattern recognition receptors activated by prionoids as well as the signaling cascades induced (77). Such studies should not only focus on glia as the resident immune cells of the brain, but also explore whether neurons activate innate immune pathways in response to prionoid aggregates. Third, there needs to be a much more intensive study of various protein aggregates acting as DAMPs. At this time, it is not clear whether all protein aggregates as well as the various types of assemblies formed act as DAMPs and, if they do, whether they behave in similar or distinct fashions. Finally, it will be important, both conceptually and from a therapeutic development perspective, to establish the relative contribution of prionoid spread compared with that of induction of the toxic environment for all of the CNS proteinopathies.

Spread via intrinsic disruptions of proteostatic mechanisms? The proteostasis network is a concept used to define the myriad of activities and functions that work in concert to maintain the proteome (78). A major conceptual premise of the proteostasis network is that the system is tightly balanced and regulated to optimize efficient use of cellular resources (79). Components of the proteostasis network (e.g., protein synthesis, protein chaperones, and protein degradation activities) are proposed to be present in sufficient, but not excess, levels; insults that diminish or burden the function of one or more elements of the network could create a condition of insufficiency and an environment that is unable to prevent the accumulation of misfolded proteins. Nonneuronal cells may counteract this insult by an upregulation of chaperones (for example, heat-shock response). However, aspects of this response are largely absent in the CNS of neurodegenerative disease models (80, 81). The proteostasis network is often conceptualized only as the protein machinery and intracellular compartments that assist in the folding of intracellular proteins, recognize inappropriately folded or modified proteins, and target them for degradation via the proteosome or autophagic pathways (82, 83). Given the role of extracellular clearance pathways and innate immunity in mediating detection and removal of protein DAMPs, we believe that it may be appropriate to more critically consider the role of the immune system in proteostasis. Nevertheless, proteostasis provides a conceptual framework to explain not only the origin of some of the pathologic features in neurodegenerative disease, but possibly both spread of pathology and cellular demise.

Age-related disruptions of the proteostasis network have often been invoked to explain why many CNS proteinopathies are late-onset diseases and some show dramatic, continuous increases in prevalence with age (80, 83). A number of elegant studies in model organisms link various pathways that perturb the proteostasis network to both life span and predisposition to develop a proteinopathy (84). But, it has been challenging to definitively identify any aging-related changes within the proteostasis networks that clearly trigger a CNS proteinopathy in mammalian model, let alone in humans (84). Furthermore, genetic alterations, such as triplet expansion in Huntington's disease and various spinocerebellar ataxias, more aggressive presenilin mutations associated with high levels of Aβ42 production, and increased copy number of normal α -synuclein, all demonstrate that intrinsic factors that promote self-aggregation of proteins can trump any aging effects, causing disease in early life. Thus, triggering events in most proteinopathies may reflect aging-related disturbances in proteostasis but may simply be stochastic in nature.

Ultimately, the presence of the proteinopathy demonstrates a failure of the proteostasis network to handle the altered protein. This intrinsic failure can the set off the cycle illustrated in Figure 2 that promotes spread and induces a toxic environment. Indeed, even for prion disease, the vast majority of the cases are not caused by exogenously transmitted prions but are sporadic cases or arise from genetic mutations that predispose PrP^c to intrinsically convert to PrpPsc. In either case, the accumulation of one protein may then enhance the propensity of other aggregation-prone, metastable proteins to aggregate by depleting chaperones required for proteostasis. If such disruptions occur, then one might predict the consequence would be induction of multiple proteinopathies. Indeed, there is a great deal of pathological evidence to suggest that many CNS proteinopathies are, at least at end stage, mixed. AD, for example, is characterized not only by accumulation of $A\beta$ and tau pathology but, in a subset of cases, also α -synuclein and TDP-43 pathology (see Table 1 and Figure 3). In addition, studies in model organisms support the idea that an initiating proteinopathy can trigger induction of other proteinopathies (80, 85). However, it remains an open question as to why various secondary proteinopathies are induced to varying extents in AD and other neurodegenerative diseases? An alternative explanation for these observations is that cross-seeding via a prionoid-like mechanisms could result in one proteinopathy triggering another. For example, "amyloidogenic" intermediates or oligomers formed from different proteins may share sufficient structural homology to cross-seed

each other into the amyloid pathway (39, 86, 87). Curiously, the intracellular proteins predominantly do not make heterogeneous inclusions; individual proteins accumulate in distinct inclusions (88). Further, some in vitro studies show that many amyloidogenic proteins prefer to form homopolymers (39, 88). On the surface, such an observation argues against a cross-seeding mechanism. However, there is ample evidence for cross-seeding occurs, subsequent templating preferentially results in aggregation of each distinct protein. Therefore, structurally homologous intermediates may be involved in crossseeding, but most proteins are kinetically inclined to assemble as homopolymers, which reflects the unique primary sequence characteristic of each protein.

Irrespective of the role of disruptions in the proteostasis networks in disease induction and spread, the notion that one can treat CNS proteinopathies by augmenting the proteostasis network is highly intriguing. One might envision that augmenting chaperone systems or clearance pathways might be applicable to multiple CNS proteinopathies, especially those involving intracellular proteins. Again, several seminal studies suggest the feasibility of such an approach, but the long-term consequences of perturbing such networks in humans are largely unknown (89–93).

Thinking laterally to understanding functional demise in CNS proteinopathies

A curious observation that arises from looking across the spectrum of research conducted in various neurodegenerative diseases is how the investigators with different primary disease foci have prioritized investigation in different ways. For example, a major focus within the AD field has been an attempt to identify "the" toxic species of A β and now more recently "the" toxic species of tau (8, 94). In contrast, the ALS field has been less focused on the aggregate states of mutant SOD1 and more focused on defining various perturbations in cellular and animal models that link mutant SOD1 to cellular and functional demise as well the contribution of various cell types to disease (72). Despite the avail-

- Ross CA, Poirier MA. Protein aggregation and neurodegenerative disease. *Nat Med.* 2004; 10(suppl):S10–S17.
- Forman MS, Trojanowski JQ, Lee VM. Neurodegenerative diseases: a decade of discoveries paves the way for therapeutic breakthroughs. *Nat Med.* 2004;10(10):1055–1063.
- Chiti F, Dobson CM. Protein misfolding, functional amyloid, and human disease. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 2006;75:333–366.
- Singleton AB, et al. alpha-Synuclein locus triplication causes Parkinson's disease. Science. 2003;302(5646):841.
- Rovelet-Lecrux A, et al. APP locus duplication causes autosomal dominant early-onset Alzheimer disease with cerebral amyloid angiopathy. *Nat Genet.* 2006;38(1):24–26.
- Baker M, et al. Mutations in progranulin cause tau-negative frontotemporal dementia linked to chromosome 17. *Nature*. 2006;442(7105):916–919.
- 7. Cruts M, et al. Null mutations in progranulin cause ubiquitin-positive frontotemporal dementia linked to chromosome 17q21. *Nature*. 2006;442(7105):920–924.
- Benilova I, Karran E, De Strooper B. The toxic Abeta oligomer and Alzheimer's disease: an emperor in need of clothes. *Nat Neurosci.* 2012;15(3):349–357.

- Bitan G, Fradinger EA, Spring SM, Teplow DB. Neurotoxic protein oligomers – what you see is not always what you get. *Amyloid*. 2005;12(2):88–95.
 Gama Sosa MA, De Gasperi R, Elder GA. Modeling
- human neurodegenerative diseases in transgenic systems. *Hum Genet.* 2012;131(4):535–563.
- 11. Pakkenberg B, Moller A, Gundersen HJ, Mouritzen Dam A, Pakkenberg H. The absolute number of nerve cells in substantia nigra in normal subjects and in patients with Parkinson's disease estimated with an unbiased stereological method. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1991;54(1):30–33.
- 12. Damier P, Hirsch EC, Agid Y, Graybiel AM. The substantia nigra of the human brain. II. Patterns of loss of dopamine-containing neurons in Parkinson's disease. *Brain*. 1999;122(pt 8):1437–1448.
- Golde TE, Schneider LS, Koo EH. Anti-abeta therapeutics in Alzheimer's disease: the need for a paradigm shift. *Neuron*. 2011;69(2):203–213.
- 14. Callaway E. Alzheimer's drugs take a new tack. *Nature*. 2012;489(7414):13-14.
- Jarrett JT, Lansbury PT Jr. Seeding "one-dimensional crystallization" of amyloid: a pathogenic mechanism in Alzheimer's disease and scrapie? *Cell*. 1993;73(6):1055–1058.
- 16. Glenner GG. Amyloid deposits and amyloidosis. The beta-fibrilloses (first of two parts). *N Engl J*

ability of what are perhaps the best animal models, in terms of an authentically phenocopied human prion disease, prion researchers have focused on issues such as attempting to define the still elusive structure of the seed and more esoteric concept of prion strains (19). Until recently, the PD field has focused on the selective vulnerability of dopaminergic neurons, despite the evidence that these neurons are neither the most vulnerable nor the only vulnerable cells within the brain (95, 96). Although there are good reasons for the perpetuation of these long-standing research foci, the unmet medical need and the lack of proven disease-modifying therapies for any neurodegenerative proteinopathy indicate that innovative strategies are needed. Exploring commonalties among these disorders may provide the critical insights needed to accelerate therapeutic discovery. As highlighted herein, the recent efforts to understand how various proteinopathies spread in the brain reveal a number of potential and unexpected commonalties as well as important differences, thus, illuminating the existing gaps in our knowledge. Perhaps, by thinking laterally, we may be able to answer other critical question about degeneration and functional demise triggered by CNS proteinopathies, including addressing why various populations of neurons succumb to the underlying proteinopathy and which signaling cascades that are triggered by proteinopathies in neurons and other cells of the CNS result in cellular dystrophy and death. Indeed, more definitive answers to such questions will likely lead to the development of novel therapeutic strategies that might work in later disease stage and possibly in multiple disorders.

Acknowledgments

T.E. Golde, D.R. Borchelt, and J. Lewis are supported by grants from the NIH. T.E. Golde is also supported by the Ellison Medical Foundation, Thome Medical Foundation, and ALSA.

Address correspondence to: Todd E. Golde, Center for Translational Research in Neurodegenerative Disease, Department of Neuroscience, College of Medicine, PO Box 100159, University of Florida, 1275 Center Drive, Gainesville, Florida 32610, USA. Phone: 352.273.9456; Fax: 352.273.9370; E-mail: tgolde@mbi.ufl.edu.

Med. 1980;302(23):1283-1292.

- 17. Prusiner SB. Prions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1998;95(23):13363-13383.
- Prusiner SB, Scott MR. Genetics of prions. Annu Rev Genet. 1997;31:139–175.
- Aguzzi A, Rajendran L. The transcellular spread of cytosolic amyloids, prions, and prionoids. *Neuron*. 2009;64(6):783–790.
- Polymenidou M, Cleveland DW. Prion-like spread of protein aggregates in neurodegeneration. J Exp Med. 2012;209(5):889–893.
- Jucker M, Walker LC. Pathogenic protein seeding in Alzheimer disease and other neurodegenerative disorders. Ann Neurol. 2011;70(4):532–540.
- Braak H, Braak E. Frequency of stages of Alzheimer-related lesions in different age categories. *Neurobiol Aging*. 1997;18(4):351–357.
- 23. Braak H, de Vos RA, Bohl J, Del Tredici K. Gastric alpha-synuclein immunoreactive inclusions in Meissner's and Auerbach's plexuses in cases staged for Parkinson's disease-related brain pathology. *Neurosci Lett.* 2006;396(1):67–72.
- Braak H, et al. Pathology associated with sporadic Parkinson's disease – where does it end? J Neural Transm Suppl. 2006;(70):89–97.
- 25. Auer S, Dobson CM, Vendruscolo M, Maritan A. Self-templated nucleation in peptide and protein

aggregation. Phys Rev Lett. 2008;101(25):258101.

- 26. Yamada K, et al. In vivo microdialysis reveals age-dependent decrease of brain interstitial fluid tau levels in P301S human tau transgenic mice. *J Neurosci.* 2011;31(37):13110–13117.
- Lee SJ. Origins and effects of extracellular alphasynuclein: implications in Parkinson's disease. *J Mol Neurosci.* 2008;34(1):17–22.
- Saman S, et al. Exosome-associated tau is secreted in tauopathy models and is selectively phosphorylated in cerebrospinal fluid in early Alzheimer disease. *J Biol Chem.* 2012;287(6):3842–3849.
- Danzer KM, et al. Exosomal cell-to-cell transmission of alpha synuclein oligomers. *Mol Neurode*gener. 2012;7:42.
- Emmanouilidou E, et al. Cell-produced alpha-synuclein is secreted in a calcium-dependent manner by exosomes and impacts neuronal survival. *I Neurosci.* 2010;30(20):6838–6851.
- Sigurdsson EM. Tau-focused immunotherapy for Alzheimer's disease and related tauopathies. *Curr Alzheimer Res.* 2009;6(5):446–450.
- 32. Bae EJ, et al. Antibody-aided clearance of extracellular alpha-synuclein prevents cell-tocell aggregate transmission. J Neurosci. 2012; 32(39):13454–13469.
- 33. Aguzzi A, O'Connor T. Protein aggregation diseases: pathogenicity and therapeutic perspectives. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2010;9(3):237–248.
- Goold R, et al. Rapid cell-surface prion protein conversion revealed using a novel cell system. Nat Commun. 2011;2:281.
- 35. Luk KC, Kehm VM, Zhang B, O'Brien P, Trojanowski JQ, Lee VM. Intracerebral inoculation of pathological alpha-synuclein initiates a rapidly progressive neurodegenerative alpha-synucleinopathy in mice. J Exp Med. 2012;209(5):975–986.
- Clavaguera F, et al. Transmission and spreading of tauopathy in transgenic mouse brain. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2009;11(7):909–913.
- Frost B, Jacks RL, Diamond MI. Propagation of tau misfolding from the outside to the inside of a cell. *J Biol Chem*. 2009;284(19):12845–12852.
- Guo JL, Lee VM. Seeding of normal Tau by pathological Tau conformers drives pathogenesis of Alzheimer-like tangles. J Biol Chem. 2011;286(17):15317-15331.
- Giasson BI, et al. Initiation and synergistic fibrillization of tau and alpha-synuclein. Science. 2003;300(5619):636-640.
- Desplats P, et al. Inclusion formation and neuronal cell death through neuron-to-neuron transmission of alpha-synuclein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009;106(31):13010–13015.
- Hansen C, et al. alpha-Synuclein propagates from mouse brain to grafted dopaminergic neurons and seeds aggregation in cultured human cells. J Clin Invest. 2011;121(2):715–725.
- 42. Chia R, Tattum MH, Jones S, Collinge J, Fisher EM, Jackson GS. Superoxide dismutase 1 and tgSOD1 mouse spinal cord seed fibrils, suggesting a propagative cell death mechanism in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *PLoS One*. 2010;5(5):e10627.
- Munch C, O'Brien J, Bertolotti A. Prion-like propagation of mutant superoxide dismutase-1 misfolding in neuronal cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2011;108(9):3548–3553.
- 44. Luk KC, et al. Pathological alpha-synuclein transmission initiates Parkinson-like neurodegeneration in nontransgenic mice. *Science*. 2012;338(6109):949–953.
- Ridley RM, Baker HF, Windle CP, Cummings RM. Very long term studies of the seeding of beta-amyloidosis in primates. *J Neural Transm.* 2006;113(9):1243-1251.
- 46. Baker HF, Ridley RM, Duchen LW, Crow TJ, Bruton CJ. Induction of beta (A4)-amyloid in primates by injection of Alzheimer's disease brain homogenate.

Comparison with transmission of spongiform encephalopathy. *Mol Neurobiol*. 1994;8(1):25-39.

- Baker HF, Ridley RM, Duchen LW, Crow TJ, Bruton CJ. Evidence for the experimental transmission of cerebral beta-amyloidosis to primates. *Int J Exp Pathol.* 1993;74(5):441–454.
- Li JY, et al. Lewy bodies in grafted neurons in subjects with Parkinson's disease suggest host-to-graft disease propagation. *Nat Med.* 2008;14(5):501–503.
- Kordower JH, Chu Y, Hauser RA, Freeman TB, Olanow CW. Lewy body-like pathology in longterm embryonic nigral transplants in Parkinson's disease. *Nat Med.* 2008;14(5):504–506.
- Golde TE, Miller VM. Proteinopathy-induced neuronal senescence: a hypothesis for brain failure in Alzheimer's and other neurodegenerative diseases. Alzheimers Res Ther. 2009;1(2):5.
- 51. Salminen A, Ojala J, Kauppinen A, Kaarniranta K, Suuronen T. Inflammation in Alzheimer's disease: amyloid-beta oligomers trigger innate immunity defence via pattern recognition receptors. *Prog Neurobiol.* 2009;87(3):181–194.
- Rubartelli A, Lotze MT. Inside, outside, upside down: damage-associated molecular-pattern molecules (DAMPs) and redox. *Trends Immunol.* 2007;28(10):429–436.
- Czirr E, Wyss-Coray T. The immunology of neurodegeneration. J Clin Invest. 2012;122(4):1156–1163.
- Kummer MP, et al. Nitration of tyrosine 10 critically enhances amyloid beta aggregation and plaque formation. *Neuron*. 2011;71(5):833–844.
- 55. Saido TC, Iwatsubo T, Mann DM, Shimada H, Ihara Y, Kawashima S. Dominant and differential deposition of distinct beta-amyloid peptide species, A beta N3(pE), in senile plaques. *Neuron*. 1995;14(2):457–466.
- Wang Y, Garg S, Mandelkow EM, Mandelkow E. Proteolytic processing of tau. *Biochem Soc Trans*. 2010;38(4):955–961.
- 57. Tukel C, Wilson RP, Nishimori JH, Pezeshki M, Chromy BA, Baumler AJ. Responses to amyloids of microbial and host origin are mediated through tolllike receptor 2. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2009;6(1):45–53.
- Béraud D, Maguire-Zeiss KA. Misfolded alpha-synuclein and Toll-like receptors: therapeutic targets for Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord.* 2012;18(suppl 1):S17–S20.
- Fellner L, et al. Toll-like receptor 4 is required for alpha-synuclein dependent activation of microglia and astroglia. *Glia.* 2013;61(3):349–360.
- 60. Guerreiro R, et al. TREM2 variants in Alzheimer's disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;368(2):117–127.
- 61. Jones B. Alzheimer disease: TREM2 linked to late-onset AD. Nat Rev Neurol. 2012;9(1):5.
- Chakrabarty P, et al. Massive gliosis induced by interleukin-6 suppresses Abeta deposition in vivo: evidence against inflammation as a driving force for amyloid deposition. *FASEB J.* 2010;24(2):548–559.
- Chakrabarty P, Tianbai L, Herring A, Ceballos-Diaz C, Das P, Golde TE. Hippocampal expression of murine IL-4 results in exacerbation of amyloid deposition. *Mol Neurodegener*. 2012;7:36.
- Lee CY, Landreth GE. The role of microglia in amyloid clearance from the AD brain. *J Neural Transm.* 2010;117(8):949–960.
- 65. Gao HM, Zhang F, Zhou H, Kam W, Wilson B, Hong JS. Neuroinflammation and alpha-synuclein dysfunction potentiate each other, driving chronic progression of neurodegeneration in a mouse model of Parkinson's disease. *Environ Health Per*spect. 2011;119(6):807–814.
- Lee DC, et al. LPS- induced inflammation exacerbates phospho-tau pathology in rTg4510 mice. *J Neuroinflammation.* 2010;7:56.
- Bhaskar K, Konerth M, Kokiko-Cochran ON, Cardona A, Ransohoff RM, Lamb BT. Regulation of tau pathology by the microglial fractalkine receptor. *Neuron*. 2010;68(1):19–31.

- Martinelli C, Reichhart JM. Evolution and integration of innate immune systems from fruit flies to man: lessons and questions. *J Endotoxin Res.* 2005;11(4):243–248.
- Nallagatla SR, Toroney R, Bevilacqua PC. Regulation of innate immunity through RNA structure and the protein kinase PKR. *Curr Opin Struct Biol.* 2011;21(1):119–127.
- 70. Deretic V. Autophagy: an emerging immunological paradigm. *J Immunol.* 2012;189(1):15–20.
- 71. Griffin DE, Metcalf T. Clearance of virus infection from the CNS. *Curr Opin Virol.* 2011;1(3):216–221.
- Ilieva H, Polymenidou M, Cleveland DW. Non-cell autonomous toxicity in neurodegenerative disorders: ALS and beyond. J Cell Biol. 2009;187(6):761–772.
- Rees K, et al. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs as disease-modifying agents for Parkinson's disease: evidence from observational studies. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2011;(11):CD008454.
- Lleo A, Galea E, Sastre M. Molecular targets of non -steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in neurodegenerative diseases. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* 2007;64(11):1403–1418.
- Ousman SS, Kubes P. Immune surveillance in the central nervous system. *Nat Neurosci.* 2012;15(8):1096-1101.
- Butovsky O, et al. Modulating inflammatory monocytes with a unique microRNA gene signature ameliorates murine ALS. J Clin Invest. 2012;122(9):3063-3087.
- Janeway CA Jr, Medzhitov R. Innate immune recognition. Annu Rev Immunol. 2002;20:197–216.
- Hartl FU, Bracher A, Hayer-Hartl M. Molecular chaperones in protein folding and proteostasis. *Nature*. 2011;475(7356):324–332.
- Gidalevitz T, Prahlad V, Morimoto RI. The stress of protein misfolding: from single cells to multicellular organisms. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* 2011;3(6).
- Morimoto RI. Proteotoxic stress and inducible chaperone networks in neurodegenerative disease and aging. *Genes Dev.* 2008;22(11):1427–1438.
- Voisine C, Pedersen JS, Morimoto RI. Chaperone networks: tipping the balance in protein folding diseases. *Neurobiol Dis.* 2010;40(1):12–20.
- Martinez-Vicente M, Cuervo AM. Autophagy and neurodegeneration: when the cleaning crew goes on strike. *Lancet Neurol.* 2007;6(4):352–361.
- Kikis EA, Gidalevitz T, Morimoto RI. Protein homeostasis in models of aging and age-related conformational disease. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* 2010;694:138–159.
- 84. Dillin A, and Cohen E. Ageing and protein aggregation-mediated disorders: from invertebrates to mammals. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 2011;366(1561):94–98.
- Gidalevitz T, Ben-Zvi A, Ho KH, Brignull HR, Morimoto RI. Progressive disruption of cellular protein folding in models of polyglutamine diseases. *Sci*ence. 2006;311(5766):1471–1474.
- 86. Lundmark K, Westermark GT, Olsen A, Westermark P. Protein fibrils in nature can enhance amyloid protein A amyloidosis in mice: Cross-seeding as a disease mechanism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2005;102(17):6098–6102.
- 87. O'Nuallain B, Williams AD, Westermark P, Wetzel R. Seeding specificity in amyloid growth induced by heterologous fibrils. J Biol Chem. 2004;279(17):17490-17499.
- Lee VM, Giasson BI, Trojanowski JQ. More than just two peas in a pod: common amyloidogenic properties of tau and alpha-synuclein in neurodegenerative diseases. *Trends Neurosci.* 2004;27(3):129-134.
- Calamini B, et al. Small-molecule proteostasis regulators for protein conformational diseases. *Nat Chem Biol.* 2012;8(2):185–196.
- 90. Lee BH, et al. Enhancement of proteasome activity by a small-molecule inhibitor of USP14. *Nature*.

science in medicine

2010;467(7312):179-184.

- Cattaneo M, Dominici R, Cardano M, Diaferia G, Rovida E, Biunno I. Molecular chaperones as therapeutic targets to counteract proteostasis defects. *J Cell Physiol.* 2012;227(3):1226-1234.
- Balch WE, Morimoto RI, Dillin A, Kelly JW. Adapting proteostasis for disease intervention. *Science*. 2008;319(5865):916–919.
- Bulawa CE, et al. Tafamidis, a potent and selective transthyretin kinetic stabilizer that inhibits the amyloid cascade. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2012;109(24):9629-9634.
- Ashe KH, Zahs KR. Probing the biology of Alzheimer's disease in mice. *Neuron*. 2010;66(5):631–645.
- 95. Thomas B, Beal MF. Parkinson's disease. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2007;16 Spec No. 2:R183–R194.
- Henchcliffe C, Severt WL. Disease modification in Parkinson's disease. Drugs Aging. 2011;28(8):605–615.
 T. D. Marchell, J. L. Marchell, C. Marchell,
- 97. Tu PH, et al. Glial cytoplasmic inclusions in white matter oligodendrocytes of multiple system atrophy brains contain insoluble alpha-synuclein. *Ann Neurol.* 1998;44(3):415–422.
- Streit WJ. Microglial senescence: does the brain's immune system have an expiration date? *Trends Neurosci.* 2006;29(9):506–510.
- 99. Selkoe DJ. Alzheimer's disease: genes, proteins, and therapy. *Physiol Rev.* 2001;81(2):741–766.
- 100.Holtzman DM, Morris JC, Goate AM. Alzheimer's disease: the challenge of the second century. Sci Transl Med. 2011;3(77):77sr1.
- 101.Ghiso J, et al. Genetic alterations of the BRI2 gene: familial British and Danish dementias. *Brain Pathol.* 2006;16(1):71–79.
- 102.Dickson DW, et al. Neuropathological assessment

of Parkinson's disease: refining the diagnostic criteria. *Lancet Neurol*. 2009;8(12):1150-1157.

- 103.Cookson MR. alpha-Synuclein and neuronal cell death. *Mol Neurodegener*. 2009;4:9.
- 104.Hardy J, Cai H, Cookson MR, Gwinn-Hardy K, Singleton A. Genetics of Parkinson's disease and parkinsonism. Ann Neurol. 2006;60(4):389–98.
- 105.Martin I, Dawson VL, Dawson TM. Recent advances in the genetics of Parkinson's disease. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet. 2011;12:301–325.
- 106.Giasson BI, Van Deerlin VM. Mutations in LRRK2 as a cause of Parkinson's disease. *Neurosignals*. 2008;16(1):99-105.
- 107. Samaranch L, et al. PINK1-linked parkinsonism is associated with Lewy body pathology. *Brain*. 2010;133(pt 4):1128-1142.
- 108. Paisan-Ruiz C, et al. Widespread Lewy body and tau accumulation in childhood and adult onset dystonia-parkinsonism cases with PLA2G6 mutations. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2012;33(4):814–823.
- 109.Sidransky E, Lopez G. The link between the GBA gene and parkinsonism. *Lancet Neurol.* 2012;11(11):986-998.
- 110.Chartier-Harlin MC, et al. Translation initiator EIF4G1 mutations in familial Parkinson disease. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2011;89(3):398–406.
- 111.Benvenga S. Conformational mutations in neuroserpin and familial dementias. *Lancet*. 2002;360(9346):1696.
- 112.Kwiatkowski TJ Jr, et al. Mutations in the FUS/ TLS gene on chromosome 16 cause familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Science*. 2009; 323(5918):1205–1208.
- 113. Vance C, et al. Mutations in FUS, an RNA process-

ing protein, cause familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis type 6. *Science*. 2009;323(5918):1208–1211.

- 114.Orr HT, Zoghbi HY. Trinucleotide repeat disorders. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2007;30:575-621.
- 115.van Slegtenhorst M, Lewis J, Hutton M. The molecular genetics of the tauopathies. *Exp Gerontol.* 2000;35(4):461–471.
- 116.Spillantini MG, Murrell JR, Goedert M, Farlow MR, Klug A, Ghetti B. Mutation in the tau gene in familial multiple system tauopathy with presenile dementia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1998;95(13):7737-7741.
- 117. Hutton M, et al. Association of missense and 5'-splicesite mutations in tau with the inherited dementia FTDP-17. *Nature*. 1998;393(6686):702–705.
- 118. Hoglinger GU, et al. Identification of common variants influencing risk of the tauopathy progressive supranuclear palsy. *Nat Genet.* 2011;43(7):699–705.
- 119.Neumann M, et al. Ubiquitinated TDP-43 in frontotemporal lobar degeneration and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Science*. 2006;314(5796):130–133.
- 120.Kabashi E, et al. TARDBP mutations in individuals with sporadic and familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Nat Genet.* 2008;40(5):572–574.
- 121.Van Deerlin VM, et al. TARDBP mutations in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis with TDP-43 neuropathology: a genetic and histopathological analysis. *Lancet Neurol.* 2008;7(5):409–416.
- 122.Deng HX, et al. Mutations in UBQLN2 cause dominant X-linked juvenile and adult-onset ALS and ALS/dementia. *Nature*. 2011;477(7363):211-215.
- 123.Maruyama H, et al. Mutations of optineurin in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Nature*. 2010; 465(7295):223-226.